

Note on the number of proper colorings of a graph

Martin Klazar

*Department of Applied Mathematics
and*

*Institute for Theoretical Computer Science (ITI)
Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University
Malostranské náměstí 25, 118 00 Praha
Czech Republic*

klazar at kam.mff.cuni.cz

Using a counting argument called Turán sieve (with motivation in number theory), Liu and Murty proved in [3, Theorem 4] an inequality on the number of proper vertex colorings, by λ colors, of a simple graph $G = (V, E)$ with $v = |V|$ vertices and $e = |E|$ edges:

$$\#(\text{proper } \lambda\text{-colorings of } G) \leq \lambda^v \cdot \frac{\lambda - 1}{e}.$$

This note presents a more direct combinatorial argument that gives a slightly stronger inequality.

Theorem. If $G = (\{1, 2, \dots, v\}, E)$ is a simple graph with $v \geq 1$ vertices and $e = |E| \geq 0$ edges and $\lambda \geq 1$ is the number of colors, then

$$|C^p| := \#(\text{proper } \lambda\text{-colorings of } G) \leq \lambda^v \cdot \frac{\lambda - 1}{e + \lambda - 1}.$$

Proof. For $e = 0$ the inequality holds and so does for $\lambda = 1$ (for $\lambda = 1$ and $e = 0$ we interpret $0/0$ as 1), and we assume that $\lambda \geq 2$ and $e \geq 1$. Let L , $|L| = \lambda$, be the set of colors, C be the set of colorings of V by colors from L , and $C^p \subset C$ be the colorings which are proper (i.e., have no monochromatic edge). We shall construct an injection

$$I : \{(h, f) : h \in E, f \in C^p\} \rightarrow \{(c, g) : c \in L, g \in C \setminus C^p\}$$

with the property that for every coloring $g \in C \setminus C^p$ there are at most $\lambda - 1$ colors c such that (c, g) is in the image $\text{Im}(I)$ of I . Then we must have

$$e|C^p| \leq (\lambda - 1)(|C| - |C^p|) = (\lambda - 1)(\lambda^v - |C^p|)$$

and the inequality follows.

For every subset $X \subset V$, fix a spanning forest F_X of the graph G_X induced by G on X (i.e., fix a spanning tree in every component of G_X). Now for $(h, f) \in E \times C^p$, where $h = \{u, w\}$ with $u < w$ and $f(u) = d \neq f(w) = c$, consider the set $Y \subset V$ of vertices having in f color d or c . In the spanning forest F_Y , u and w lie in the same component K and K contains a unique path

P joining u and w . Recolor the vertices of K by c and d so that all vertices of P are colored with d and the only monochromatic edges in $E(K)$ (and hence in $E(F_Y)$) are those of P ; there is exactly one such coloring of K (since K is a tree). Define $g \in C$ as given by this recoloring on K and as coinciding with f elsewhere. Set $I((h, f)) = (c, g)$; recall that c is the color lost on P by the recoloring. Clearly, $(c, g) \in L \times C \setminus C^p$. Note that the only *bad* colors in g —colors appearing on some edge in E monochromatic in g —are d (because of the edges in P) and possibly c (the recoloring may have created some edges monochromatic in the color c but these must lie in $E \setminus E(F_Y)$). The membership $(c, g) \in \text{Im}(I)$ imposes on (c, g) further restrictions which we discuss in a moment.

We have to verify the injectivity of I and the property of its image. Let $(c, g) \in (L \times C \setminus C^p) \cap \text{Im}(I)$ be given. We describe how to reconstruct uniquely (h, f) from $(c, g) = I((h, f))$. First, set $B' = \{c\} \cup B$ where B is the set of colors bad in g . As we mentioned, necessarily $|B'| = 2$. Thus Y is uniquely reconstructed as $Y = g^{-1}(B')$. The color $d \in B'$, $d \neq c$, is the only color that is bad in g with respect to the edges in $E(F_Y)$ and, moreover, the monochromatic edges in $E(F_Y)$ form a path P with endvertices $u < w$ that must be adjacent (this is forced by $(c, g) \in \text{Im}(I)$). Thus the edge h is uniquely reconstructed as $\{u, w\}$. If we modify g on the component K of F_Y containing P so that K is properly colored with c and d but u retains its color d (such a coloring of K is unique), the resulting coloring is necessarily proper with respect to all edges in E (else (c, g) could not be in $\text{Im}(I)$) and f must be equal to it. Hence we have reconstructed (h, f) . This shows that I is an injection.

Finally, let $(c, g) \in \text{Im}(I)$ and B be the set of bad colors in g . If $|B| = 1$, then $c \notin B$ and for fixed g we have at most $\lambda - 1$ possibilities for c . If $|B| = 2$, then $c \in B$ and is uniquely determined (must be distinct from the color in B that is bad in g with respect to the edges in $E(F_Y)$ where $Y = g^{-1}(B)$) and there are again at most $\lambda - 1$ possibilities for c when g is fixed. $\square$

Closing remarks. Stronger result was obtained long ago by Lazebnik in [2]:

$$\#(\text{proper } \lambda\text{-colorings of } G) \leq \lambda^v \cdot A$$

where

$$A = \min \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{\lceil \sqrt{2e+1/4} - 1/2 \rceil}, 1 - \frac{e}{\lambda} + \frac{\binom{e}{2}}{\lambda^2}, \frac{\lambda - 1}{e + \lambda - 1} \right).$$

The last third term in the minimum is identical with the one obtained here. I could not check [2] to see if the above argument based on an injective mapping, which still may be of some interest, is subsumed in the proofs of [2]. For further strengthening and references on the problem to bound the number of proper colorings, see Byer [1].

References

- [1] O. D. Byer, Some new bounds for the maximum number of vertex colorings of a (v, e) -graph, *J. Graph Theory* **28** (1998) 115–128.
- [2] F. Lazebnik, New upper bounds for the greatest number of proper colorings of a (v, e) -graph, *J. Graph Theory* **14** (1990) 25–29.
- [3] Y.-R. Liu and M. R. Murty, Sieve methods in combinatorics, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **111** (2005) 1–23.